

STUDENT POSTER PRESENTATION Judging Rubric: Engineering Graduate Conference (EGR2021)

Student Name: _____

ID#: _____

Presenter Level: MSc PhD

Category	Min Score = 1	2	3	Max Score = 4	SCORE
Abstract	Unable to clearly connect abstract to research poster or presentation.	Somewhat able to see connection of abstract to research/presentation. Abstract did not contain sufficient information.	Abstract adequately presented student's research. More information would have been beneficial.	Abstract strongly represented the student's research. Clearly supported topic presented and contained important points.	Abstract
Poster Content	Connection not found between poster content and purpose of study, research hypothesis/question(s), method, conclusions, or implications.	Content presented was difficult to understand and did not sufficiently convey a connection to the study, hypothesis, research question(s), method, conclusion, and/or implications.	The content was adequately presented but support for the study, research hypothesis, or question(s) is somewhat general. Conclusion and implications were reasonable.	Strong material. Well summarized. Clearly shows development of study or research. Material appears to accurately support purpose of study, hypothesis, or research question. Strong conclusion and implications presented.	Content
Poster Appearance/ Clarity	Not visually effective.	Poster was acceptable but needs work to improve visual appeal through better utilization of fonts, colors, headings, and white space.	Poster was adequate but could improve effectiveness through better use of space through font size, colors, headings, and white space.	Visually appealing and strongly effective presentation. Easy to read. Utilized creativity in use of fonts, headings, colors, and white space.	Appearance / clarity
Poster Organization	Unable to understand link between information presented and topic of research.	Topic of research is not clear. Information presented is somewhat confusing.	Topic of the research is apparent. The presentation of information could use refining.	Topic of research is clearly evident. Layout of poster is logical, and provides sequential information from intro to conclusion and references.	Organization
Presenter's Oral Presentation	Presenter was not prepared. Demonstrated problems in several areas (no eye contact, no clear discussion of research, lack of professionalism).	Presenter did not convey a sense of confidence or ability to <i>clearly</i> discuss the research problem, methods, conclusion, and implications. Additional practice would be helpful.	Presentation and demonstration of understanding was acceptable. Demonstrated some problems (speaking too softly, use of jargon, hesitation, inability to handle questions, etc.)	Presenter was confident and professional. Established eye contact. Clearly conveyed research problem, methods, conclusions, and implications. Answered questions well. Discussed research in layman's terms or appropriate to judge.	Oral Presentation
Overall Score	I do not recommend for award	Sufficient, but do not recommend for award (bottom 50%)	Very good but I am undecided on an award (top 10%)	Outstanding, highly recommend for award (top 5%)	Overall Score
Judges must give a score for each category. Maximum Score = 24 PLEASE turn in your scores immediately after the session is completed - Thank you!					TOTAL

Additional Feedback:

Judge's Name: _____